Finding Love: An Algorithmic Approach

Slides by Griffin Kao for CS0040: Introduction to Scientific Computing at Brown University

#### **Motivation**



### "Online Algorithms"

- Up until now, we've had all the information we need to solve our problems
  - Deciding an investment plan, like you did in HW 2 is significantly more difficult if we don't know all the list prices ahead of time
- So what do we do if we have to do well without knowing what the future holds...?

### **The Dating Problem**

- Throughout your life you date a series of people one by one with who you have varying degrees of compatibility
- How do you pick The One? (maximize expected reward)
- Best Case: You pick the one you're most compatible with 👀
- Worst Case: You end up alone 😢 or even worse with someone you hate 😖



### Assumptions

- Quantify compatibility (we'll return to this later!)
- Date n people, one person at a time
- Can't undo: if you ask your ex to take you back, they say f u
- Random order
- Can't predict the future



- Date and reject the first r-1 people
- Let M be the best of those
- Then choose the next one better than M



#### **Problems**

- What if you stop dating too early and miss The One later on?
- What if you pass up on The One and your current partner ends up walking away after 25 years of marriage (with half your multibillion dollar Amazon fortune)???
- When should you stop and select the best SO? Or just stop and just pick the next one since you're super desperate!

#### How do we pick r?



Candidates = 15

# **Probability of Success**

For arbitrary cutoff r

$$egin{aligned} P(r) &= \sum_{i=1}^n P\left( ext{applicant}\ i ext{ is selected} \cap ext{applicant}\ i ext{ is the best}
ight) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^n P\left( ext{applicant}\ i ext{ is selected} | ext{applicant}\ i ext{ is the best}
ight) \cdot P\left( ext{applicant}\ i ext{ is the best}
ight) \ &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} 0 + \sum_{i=r}^n P\left( ext{the best of the first}\ i - 1 ext{ applicants}
ight| ext{applicant}\ i ext{ is the best}
ight) 
ight] \cdot rac{1}{n} \ &= \left[\sum_{i=r}^n rac{r-1}{i-1}
ight] \cdot rac{1}{n} \ &= \left[\sum_{i=r}^n rac{r-1}{i-1}
ight] \cdot rac{1}{n} \ &= rac{r-1}{n} \sum_{i=r}^n rac{1}{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

#### **Choose r to Maximize P**

- Let n tend towards infinity,  $x = \lim (r-1)/n$ , t = (i-1)/n, dt = 1/n
- We can approximate the sum with an integral (basically scaling from n to 1):

$$P(x)=x\int_x^1rac{1}{t}\,dt=-x\ln(x)$$

- So  $P'(x) = -\ln(x) 1$  by product rule, set = 0 and solve for x
- What do we get?

# Solution: Optimal Stoppingx = 1/e !!!!!!x = 1/e !!!!!!



Reject the first 37% of the people you date :)

### Pseudocode

Def doDating(numSuitors, life):

- r = numSuitors/e best = life.suitors[1]
- for i in 1 to r-1:
  - if compatibility(life.suitors[i]) > compatibility(best):
     best = life.suitors[i]
- for i in r to numSuitors:
- if compatibility(life.suitors[i]) > compatibility(best): return life.suitors[i] return life.suitors[numSuitors]

# 1/e Other Applications

- Options Trading
  - Imagine you're holding an American option and can buy/sell underlying asset before expiry date
    - Value of underlying asset (i.e. stock price) follows geometric Brownian motion => random!
  - When do you exercise option??
- Reinforcement Learning in Machine Learning
  - Model that learns by interacting with environment
  - Perform action then observe and store result
    - When do you choose to perform random action vs. perform best action according to what you've learned so far?

Explore w probability 1/e to maximize information gain!











# **Compatibility Models**

- What makes someone compatible?
- Assumptions:
  - You intuitively know how much you like someone
  - You already have dated some people => training data
- Let's use linear regression!



#### **Linear Regression**



## **Training the Model**

- Each sample in the data we have for training is a person: a bunch of attributes and a measure of how much you liked the person (compatibility)
- We have a weight that corresponds to each attribute (i.e. multiply the attribute by the weight when calculating compatibility)
- For each sample, use our current weights to calculate a prediction for the compatibility and see how different it is from the actual value (how much you actually liked the person)
- Then use that difference to update the weights



- Our goal is the bottom of the bowl, the minimum error
- To get there, we can calculate the derivative (the slope) and move in that direction
- Update our weights:
  - Derivative = 2 \* (prediction label)
  - Weights = weights learning\_rate\*derivative\*example attribute

### Let's Try an Example



- The current weight for foot size is 2, bias of 1
- You date someone who had a footsize of 1 ft and scored them at 4 for how much you liked them
- $(2^{*}1 \text{ ft}) + 1 = 3 \text{ is compatibility our model would predict}$
- SGD:
  - Delta = 2\*(prediction label) = 2\*(3 4) = -2
  - Ft sz weight = ft sz weight learning\_rate\*delta\*example attribute = 2 0.25\*-2\*1 = 2.5
- We've moved closer to the optimal weight of 3! 🐠 💯





### Pseudocode

Def train(data):

initialize weights to the number of attributes for sample in data: calculate derivative

weights = weights - learning\_rate\*derivative\*sample\_attributes

Def compatibility(person): return person\*weights + bias



- Requires a lot of data that you might not have in real life (ground truth scarcity)
- Can choose wrong attributes to represent people
- There are likely better models to use (if you're interested, look into unsupervised learning or reinforcement learning methods!)



### Conclusion

### CS (and math) are cool

Other CS classes you can take in the fall:

- CS15: Intro to OOP and CS
- CS17: CS An Integrated Intro
- CS19: An Accelerated Intro
- CS13: UI/UX
- CS10: Data Fluency for All
- CS141: Artificial Intelligence (email Prof. Konidaris for an override)