

# Homework 1

**Due: September 22, 2023**

CS 1510: Intro. to Cryptography and Computer Security

## 1 Cryptanalysis

One of the oldest known ciphers is the shift cipher or Caesar cipher, which simply shifts each letter in the message forward in the alphabet by some fixed number of steps. For example, shifting each letter forward by 3 turns ONETIMEPAD into RQHWLPHSDG. Of course this cipher only has 26 possible keys (and one leaves the original message unchanged), so it is easy to just try every possibility. It would be better to use a different shift for each letter, to increase the number of possible keys. We can represent such a key using letters of the alphabet so that A represents a shift by 0, B represents a shift by 1, etc. So using the key EXAMPLEKEY we can encrypt ONETIMEPAD to SKEFXXIZEB.

- a. Everyone knows that Alice and Bob have a far from perfect relationship. Alice loves Bob a third of the time and hates him otherwise. Bob loves Alice half the time and hates her a quarter of the time; the rest of the time he is watching football. Oddly enough, these events seem to be independent.

When they realized that their friends were putting odds on their emotional states, Alice and Bob started using the cipher above to preserve their privacy. When appropriate they send each other messages saying either “I love you” or “I hate you.” When Bob is watching the game, he sends random letters in the same word pattern (e.g., “Z wtrh bah”).

The following is a transcript of Alice and Bob’s messages for the last few days. The encryption leaves spaces, punctuation, and capitalization the same as in the original text. What key or keys have they been using, and at what times? How can you tell?

Saturday, 7:45am Alice → Bob: J fube zci

Saturday, 8:11am Bob → Alice: J fube zci

Saturday, 11:27am Bob → Alice: J bgze zci

Saturday, 12:34pm Alice → Bob: J bgze zci

Saturday, 3:06pm Alice → Bob: J bgze zci

Saturday, 7:59pm Bob → Alice: J fube zci

Sunday, 1:19am Bob → Alice: J fube zci

Sunday, 5:23am Alice → Bob: J bgze zci  
 Sunday, 1:47pm Bob → Alice: I kuna egw  
 Sunday, 3:29pm Alice → Bob: J fube zci  
 Sunday, 5:18pm Alice → Bob: P fubc zsy  
 Sunday, 7:30pm Bob → Alice: P bgzc zsy  
 Sunday, 9:41pm Bob → Alice: U ilol qim  
 Monday, 4:55am Alice → Bob: P bgzc zsy  
 Monday, 7:11am Alice → Bob: P bgzc zsy  
 Monday, 11:11am Bob → Alice: P fubc zsy  
 Monday, 4:20pm Alice → Bob: P bgzc zsy  
 Monday, 10:36pm Bob → Alice: P fubc zsy  
 Monday, 11:58pm Alice → Bob: U lhvl yfc  
 Tuesday, 2:54am Bob → Alice: U htll yfc  
 Tuesday, 6:47am Bob → Alice: U lhvl yfc  
 Tuesday, 8:51am Alice → Bob: U htll yfc  
 Tuesday, 9:13am Alice → Bob: U htll yfc  
 Tuesday, 9:56am Bob → Alice: U lhvl yfc  
 Tuesday, 10:29am Alice → Bob: Mtmajk rb papn

- b. Something weird is going on. Alice’s last message to Bob was not what you expected. Are Alice and Bob secretly working for your archnemesiis, the evil Mallory? If so, your life could already be in danger. If something happens to you, your best friends, Carol and Dave, need a way to decrypt the information on your laptop that will help them discover the truth about Alice and Bob. You want to make sure they are together when they read the information, just in case it is dangerous.

Building on the cipher above, explain how to generate a pair of messages,  $C$  and  $D$  to Carol and Dave that, when put together, can reveal the decryption key  $K$  for your laptop, but such that given just  $C$  or just  $D$ , no information about  $K$  is revealed.

**Extra credit:** How would you break the secret  $K$  into more than two pieces?

- c. It is true, Alice and Bob are evil spies! While attending a party at their house you managed to quietly slip away from the crowd to look for evidence. But when you found the bloody knife hidden behind the toilet you panicked, and Alice caught you sending your lawyer Trent an urgent warning using her computer. Luckily, you did the encryption in your head, enciphering “Alice and Bob are evil” using your distress key, “QJDLEWOZMCVPWJHGSE.” As a result, Alice’s computer only logged the

ciphertext. How can you convince her that you actually said “Alice and Bob are nice?”

## 2 Perfect Security

Recall the definition of perfect security for a cryptosystem.

**Definition 1 (Perfect Security)** *A symmetric-key encryption scheme  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  with message space  $\mathcal{M}$  is perfectly secure if for all probability distributions over  $\mathcal{M}$ , all messages  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , and all ciphertexts  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  for which  $\Pr[C = c] > 0$ ,*

$$\Pr[M = m \mid C = c] = \Pr[M = m].$$

- a. Consider the following definition:

**Definition 2 (A Security)** *Let  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  constitute an A-secure cryptosystem if for all distributions over  $\mathcal{M}$ , all  $m \in M$ , and all  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  for which  $\Pr[M = m] > 0$ ,*

$$\Pr[C = c] = \Pr[C = c \mid M = m].$$

Is A-security equivalent to the original perfect security definition? Prove or disprove your answer.

- b. Consider another definition:

**Definition 3 (B Security)** *Let  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  constitute a B-secure cryptosystem if for all pairs of messages  $m_0, m_1 \in M$ , and all  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,*

$$\Pr[C = c \mid M = m_0] = \Pr[C = c \mid M = m_1].$$

Is B-security equivalent to the original perfect security definition? Prove or disprove your answer.

- c. Alice and Bob are arguing in class. Bob insists that an encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$  is perfectly-secure if and only if for every probability distribution over  $\mathcal{M}$  and every pair of ciphertexts  $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ , it is the case that any computed ciphertext  $C$  must be equally likely to be  $c_0$  or  $c_1$ , i.e. that  $\Pr[C = c_0] = \Pr[C = c_1]$ . If you think Bob is correct, help him out by writing a proof of the statement. Otherwise, help Alice convince him that he is wrong by providing a counterexample.

### 3 One-Time Pad

Consider using a one-time pad with a randomly selected key  $k = 0^\ell$ , i.e. the all-zero string.

- a. What happens when we encrypt a message  $m$  using  $k$ ?
- b. Carol proposes modifying the one-time pad by encrypting only with a key  $k \neq 0^\ell$  (i.e. Gen only selects keys uniformly from the set of *non-zero* keys of length  $\ell$ ). Is Carol's proposed scheme perfectly secure? Why or why not?
- c. Some cryptographers might argue that as long as the encryption scheme is perfectly secure, sending a message using key  $k = 0^\ell$  does not give the adversary any more information than they started with. Do you think this true in practice? Discuss.

### 4 Negligible Functions

In cryptography, we usually define security by requiring that the probability of some undesirable event (such as Eve guessing the message) be so small that no one would ever notice it. To that end, we define a negligible function as follows:

**Definition 4 (Negligible function)** A function  $\nu(k) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called negligible if for every polynomial  $p$ , there exists some  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $k > k_0$ ,  $\nu(k) < 1/p(k)$ .

In this problem, we will develop some intuition for this concept and how to use it.

- a. Give an example of a negligible function  $\nu(k)$  where  $\nu(k) > 0$  for all  $k$ .
- b. Suppose that  $\nu$  is a negligible function. Let  $p$  be a polynomial such that  $p(k) \geq 0$  for all  $k > 0$ . Which of the following functions are necessarily negligible? For each function you think is necessarily negligible, provide a proof. For each you do not think is necessarily negligible, provide a counterexample.
  - (1)  $\nu(k) \cdot p(k)$
  - (2)  $\frac{1}{p(k)} - \nu(k)$
  - (3)  $\nu_1(k) * \nu_2(k)^{-1}$  where both  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are negligible.
  - (4)  $\nu(k)^{-c}$  for a positive constant  $c$
  - (5)  $\sum_{i=1}^{p(k)} \nu_i(k)$ , where for all  $i, k$ ,  $\nu_i(k) \leq \nu(k)$ .
- c. Suppose that  $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is *not* a negligible function. Does it follow that for some polynomial  $p$  where  $p(k) > 0$  for all  $k$  and for some  $k_0$ ,  $\varepsilon(k) > 1/p(k)$  for all  $k > k_0$ ? If your answer is yes, prove it. If your answer is no, provide a counterexample.

## 5 Summary Question

Summarize the most important insights from this week's material, including from the lectures, notes, textbooks, homework problems, and other resources you find helpful, into a one-page resource. You will be permitted to use this one-page resource (along with the other weeks' resources) on the midterm and final.

Changes to this document prior to the exams are permitted, but for each change, you will be asked to state what you changed and why. For example, if you dropped something and replaced it with something else, justify why the thing you dropped wasn't as important as the thing you inserted, why you think it might be more useful for the exam, etc.

Please note that the purpose of this question is to help you organize and synthesize the material for your own future use. It will be graded based on completion—we will not be checking it for correctness.