CS155/254: Probabilistic Methods in Computer Science

Chapter 4.2: Packet routing on an hypercube network



Packet Routing on Parallel Computer

Communication network:



Packet Routing on Parallel Computer

Communication network:

- nodes processors, switching nodes;
- edges communication links.



Model and Computational problem

- An edge (v, w) corresponds to two directed edge, $v \to w$ and $w \to v$.
- Up to one packet can cross an edge per step, each packet can cross up to one edge per step.
- A permutation communication request: each node is the source and destination of exactly one packet.
- What is the time to route an arbitrary permutation on an N node network?

The *n*-cube





The 4-cube:



The *n*-cube

The *n*-cube:

 $N = 2^n$ nodes: $0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1$.

Let $\bar{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ be the number of node x in binary.

Nodes x and y are connected by an edge iff their binary representations differ in exactly one bit.

Bit-wise routing: correct bit *i* in the *i*-th transition - route has length $\leq n$.

The Butterfly Network



The *n*-cube

The *n*-cube: $N = 2^n$ nodes: $0, 1, 2, ..., 2^n - 1$.

Let $\bar{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ be the number of node x in binary.

Nodes x and y are connected by an edge iff their binary representations differ in exactly one bit.

Bit-wise routing: correct bit *i* in the *i*-th transition - route has length $\leq n$.

Problem: Assume that a packet from $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n/2}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is routed to $(0, 0, \ldots, 0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n/2})$, for all possible assignments of $x_1, \ldots, x_{n/2}$. We have $2^{n/2} = \sqrt{N}$ packets traversing node $(0, \ldots, 0)$. There is an edge that is traversed by \sqrt{N}/n packets.

Randomized Packet Routing Algorithm on the *n*-cube

Two phase routing algorithm:

- 1 Send packet to a randomly chosen destination.
- 2 Send packet from randomly chosen destination to real destination.

Path: Correct the bits, x_1 to x_n .

Queue policy: Any greedy queuing method - if a queue to an edge is not empty one packet traverse the edge.

Theorem

The two phase routing algorithm routes an arbitrary permutation on the *n*-cube in $O(\log N) = O(n)$ parallel steps with high probability.

Theorem

The two phase routing algorithm routes an arbitrary permutation on the *n*-cube in $O(\log N) = O(n)$ parallel steps with high probability.

- We focus first on phase 1. We bound the routing time of an arbitrary packet *M*.
- Let e₁,..., e_m be the m ≤ n edges traversed by packet M is phase 1.
- Let X(e) be the total number of packets that traverse edge e at that phase.
- Let T(M) be the number of steps till M finished phase 1.

Lemma

$$T(M) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m X(e_i).$$

- We call any path P = (e₁, e₂,..., e_m) of m ≤ n edges that follows the bit fixing algorithm a possible packet path.
- We denote the corresponding nodes v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m , with $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$.
- For any possible packet path P, let $T(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} X(e_i)$.

• If phase I takes more than *T* steps then for some possible packet path *P*,

 $T(P) \geq T$

- There are at most $2^n \cdot 2^n = 2^{2n}$ possible packet paths.
- Assume that e_k connects $(a_1, ..., a_i, ..., a_n)$ to $(a_1, ..., \overline{a_i}, ..., a_n)$.
- Only packets that started in address

 $(*, ..., *, a_i, ..., a_n)$

can traverse edge e_k , and only if their destination addresses are

$$(a_1,...,a_{i-1},\bar{a_i},*,...,*)$$

There are no more than 2ⁱ⁻¹ possible packets, each has probability 2⁻ⁱ to traverse e_i.

There are no more than 2ⁱ⁻¹ possible packets, each has probability 2⁻ⁱ to traverse e_i.

$$\mathsf{E}[X(e_i)] \le 2^{i-1} \cdot 2^{-i} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\mathsf{E}[T(P)] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathsf{E}[X(e_i)] \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot m \leq n.$$

• **Problem:** The X(e_i)'s are not independent.

- A packet is *active* with respect to possible packet path *P* if it ever use an edge of *P*.
- For k = 1,..., N, let H_k = 1 if the packet starting at node k is active, and H_k = 0 otherwise.
- The H_k are independent, since each H_k depends only on the choice of the intermediate destination of the packet starting at node k, and these choices are independent for all packets.
- Let $H = \sum_{k=1}^{N} H_k$ be the total number of active packets.

$\mathsf{E}[H] \le \mathsf{E}[T(P)] \le n$

• Since H is the sum of independent 0 - 1 random variables we can apply the Chernoff bound

 $\Pr(H \ge 6n) \le \Pr(H \ge 6\mathsf{E}[H]) \le 2^{-6n}.$

For a given possible packet path P,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(T(P) \ge 30n) &\leq & \Pr(T(P) \ge 30n \mid H \ge 6n) \Pr(H \ge 6n) \\ &+ & \Pr(T(P) \ge 30n \mid H < 6n) \Pr(H < 6n) \\ &\leq & \Pr(H \ge 6n) + \Pr(T(P) \ge 30n \mid H < 6n) \\ &\leq & 2^{-6n} + \Pr(T(P) \ge 30n \mid H < 6n). \end{aligned}$$

We use:

$$Pr(A) = Pr(A | B) Pr(B) + Pr(A | \overline{B}) Pr(\overline{B}) \leq Pr(B) + Pr(A | \overline{B})$$

Lemma

If a packet leaves a path (of another packet) it cannot return to that path in the same phase.

Proof.

Leaving a path at the *i*-th transition implies different *i*-th bit, this bit cannot be changed again in that phase.

Lemma

The number of transitions that a packet takes on a given path is distributed $G\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Proof.

The packet has probability 1/2 of leaving the path in each transition.

The probability that the active packets cross edges of P more than 30n times is less than the probability that a fair coin flipped 36n times comes up heads less than 6n times.

Letting Z be the number of heads in 36n fair coin flips, we now apply the Chernoff bound:

 $\Pr(T(P) \ge 30n \mid H \le 6n) \le \Pr(Z \le 6n)$ $\le e^{-18n(2/3)^2/2} = e^{-4n} \le 2^{-3n-1}.$

 $\begin{aligned} \Pr(T(P) \ge 30n) &\leq & \Pr(H \ge 6n) + \Pr(T(P) \ge 30n \mid H \le 6n) \\ &\leq & 2^{-6n} + 2^{-3n-1} \le 2^{-3n} \end{aligned}$

As there are at most 2^{2n} possible packet paths in the hypercube, the probability that there is *any* possible packet path for which $T(P) \ge 30n$ is bounded by

$$2^{2n}2^{-3n} = 2^{-n} = O(N^{-1}).$$

- The proof of phase 2 is by symmetry:
- The proof of phase 1 argued about the number of packets crossing a given path, no "timing" considerations.
- The path from "one packet per node" to random locations is similar to random locations to "one packet per node" in reverse order.
- Thus, the distribution of the number of packets that crosses a path of a given packet is the same.

Oblivious Routing

Definition

A routing algorithm is **oblivious** if the path taken by one packet is independent of the source and destinations of any other packets in the system.

Theorem

Given an N-node network with maximum degree d the routing time of any deterministic oblivious routing scheme is

$$\Omega\left(\sqrt{\frac{N}{d^3}}\right)$$