



Applications of MDPs

- AI/Computer Science
 - Robotic control (Koenig & Simmons, Thrun et al., Kaelbling et al.)
 - Air Campaign Planning (Meuleau et al.)
 - Elevator Control (Barto & Crites)
 - Computation Scheduling (Zilberstein et al.)
 - Control and Automation (Moore et al.)
 - Spoken dialogue management (Singh et al.)
 - Cellular channel allocation (Singh & Bertsekas)



Applications of MDPs

- EE/Control
 - Missile defense (Bertsekas et al.)
 - Inventory management (Van Roy et al.)
 - Football play selection (Patek & Bertsekas)
- Agriculture
 - Herd management (Kristensen, Toft)
- Other
 - Sports strategies
 - Board games
 - Video games



- Let S_t be a random variable for the state at time t
- $P(S_t | A_{t-1}S_{t-1},...,A_0S_0) = P(S_t | A_{t-1}S_{t-1})$
- Markov is special kind of conditional independence
- Future is independent of past given current state, *action* (similar to HMM assumptions, but adds actions)

About Rewards

- R(s,a,s') is most general typically interpreted to associate rewards with transitions. Reward is accrued in state s.
- R(s,a) Any R(s,a,s') model can be converted to this w/o changing the optimal policy (because of linearity of expectation)
- R(s) Simplest to write and work with. In general, cannot convert from R(s,a) w/o changing the optimal policy.
- Can always convert from less complicated reward models to more complicated (upwards in this list) w/o consequences



Discounting in Practice

- Often chosen unrealistically low
 - Faster convergence of the algorithms we'll see later
 - Leads to slightly myopic policies
- Can reformulate most algs. for avg. reward
 - Mathematically uglier
 - Somewhat slower run time



Matrix Form

$$\mathbf{P}^{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} P(s_1 \mid s_1, \pi(s_1)) & P(s_2 \mid s_1, \pi(s_1)) & P(s_3 \mid s_1, \pi(s_1)) \\ P(s_1 \mid s_2, \pi(s_2)) & P(s_2 \mid s_2, \pi(s_2)) & P(s_3 \mid s_2, \pi(s_2)) \\ P(s_1 \mid s_3, \pi(s_3)) & P(s_2 \mid s_3, \pi(s_3)) & P(s_3 \mid s_3, \pi(s_3)) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{\pi} = \gamma \mathbf{P}^{\pi} \mathbf{V}^{\pi} + \mathbf{R}^{\pi}$$

Generalization of the game show example from earlier

How to solve this system efficiently? Does it even have a solution?







Interpreting the Iterations

- Suppose $V^{\pi_0} = 0$, and R is defined on (s,a)
- Then $V_1^{\pi} = R^{\pi}$ (value of executing 1 step of π)
- $V_{2}^{\pi} = R^{\pi} + \gamma P^{\pi} V_{1}^{\pi} = R^{\pi} + \gamma P^{\pi} R^{\pi}$ (expected value of executing 2 steps of π)
- $V^{\pi}_{3} = R^{\pi} + \gamma P^{\pi} V^{\pi}_{2} = R^{\pi} + \gamma P^{\pi} R^{\pi} + \gamma^{2} (P^{\pi})^{2} R^{\pi}$ (expected value of executing 3 steps of π)
- Can interpret these as the value of a finite horizon problem, where everything stops after i steps





























- Modify your algorithm
 - For states s that are "terminal"
 - For an iterative solver, just set V(s)=R(s) at each iteration
 - If using matrix inversion, hack your matrix
- Modify your MDP
 - Create a state T with R(T)=0, P(T|T,a)=1 for all a
 - For all states s that are "terminal"
 - Set P(T|s,a) = 1 for all a
 - This forces V(s)=R(s)















Properties of Value Iteration

- VI converges to V* (||. $||_{\infty}$ from V* shrinks by γ factor each iteration)
- Converges to optimal policy
- Why? (Because we figure out V*, optimal policy is argmax)
- Optimal policy is stationary (i.e. Markovian – depends only on current state)

Policy Iteration





Comparing VI and PI

- VI
 - Value changes at every step
 - Policy may change before exact value of policy is computed
 - Many relatively cheap iterations
- PI
 - Alternates policy/value updates
 - Solves for value of each policy *exactly*
 - Fewer, slower iterations (need to invert matrix)
- Convergence
 - Both are contractions in max norm
 - PI is shockingly fast (small number of iterations) in practice



MDP Limitations → Reinforcement Learning

- MDP operate at the level of states
 - States = atomic events
 - We usually have exponentially (or infinitely) many of these
- We assume P and R are known
- Machine learning to the rescue!
 - Infer P and R (implicitly or explicitly from data)
 - Generalize from small number of states/policies

A Unified View of Value Iteration and Policy Iteration









Q-Values

- "Shift" or "split" Bellman equation
 - $V(s) = max_a Q(s,a)$
 - $Q(s,a) = R(s) + \gamma \Sigma_{s'} P(s'|s,a) \max_{a'} Q(s',a')$
- What's the advantage of this representation?
- Trade offs in storing different things:
 - V(S) store values, potentially expensive to compute policy
 - $-~\pi(s)$ stores policy, but forgets values
 - Q(s,a) stores values, easy to compute policy is #actions is small





